UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,989	12/14/2005	Toshiki Nonaka	52433/828	3742
<sup>26646</sup> KENYON & K	7590 07/22/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		YEE, DEBORAH		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/560,989	NONAKA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Deborah Yee	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilled to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. viely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 to 4 and 6 to 15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 to 4, 6, 7 and 10 to 15 is/are rejected to claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	awn from consideration.  ed.  r election requirement.  r.  re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected or by objected in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected in second in the drawing(s) is objected in th	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/3/10.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te		

Art Unit: 1793

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 3, 2010 has been entered.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 8 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on May 22, 2008.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed May 3, 2010, with respect to claims 1 to 4, 6,7 and 10 to 15 rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 2003-105513 ("JP'513") have been fully considered and are persuasive. As pointed out by Applicant, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not expect to achieve a TS ≥ 980 MPa when TS x EL ≥ 16,000 as claimed since all examples of JP'513 steel exhibit TS ≤815 MPa. Also a person of ordinary skill in the art would not expect to achieve a non-austenitic, high tensile strength steel having Al < 1.2% as claimed since all the JP'513 steel example having Al <1.2% exhibit a secondary phase of retained austenite. Therefore the rejection has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1793

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 to 4, 6, 7 and 10 to 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over European patent 1154028 to Vrieze ("EP'028") alone or in view of Japanese patent 2003-105513("JP'513").
- 6. EP'028 in claims 1 to 6 disclose a high strength steel sheet composition having constituents whose wt% ranges overlap or closely approximate those recited by the claims; and such similarities establishes a prima facie case of obviousness since it would be obvious for one skilled in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art since the prior art teaches the same utility for hot-dip galvanizing and similar properties of high tensile strength and elongation, See MPEP 2144.05(I).
- 7. EP'028 teaches steel composition containing a lower Si limit of 0.35% which closely approximates Applicant's claimed upper Si limit 0.3% such that one skilled in the art would have expected them to have the same properties, see MPEP 2144.05(I), *Titanium Metals Corp. of America v. Banner*, 778 F.2d775, 227USPQ773(Fed.Cir. 1085).
- 8. EP'028 does not teach the claimed expression (1): (0.0012 x [target strength TS] 0.29 [Si])/2.45 < Al < 1.5 3 x [Si] but it has been well settled that there is no

Application/Control Number: 10/560,989

Art Unit: 1793

invention in the discovery of a general formula if it covers a composition described in the prior art, see *In re Cooper and Foley*, 57USPQ117. In the instant case, since the concentration of each element in prior art overlaps the claimed concentration of the corresponding element, then the claimed equation would have expected to be met in prior art. Moreover, EP'028 in claims 5 and 6 teach high strength and elongation at values that are attributed to claimed expression (1).

Page 4

- 9. In regard to microstructure, EP'028 in claim 4 teaches ferrite and at least 15% of hardening structures of martensite, residual austenite and/or bainite, specifically at most 50% martensite and/or bainite and at most 10% residual austenite, and optionally at most 5-10% pearlite which would suggest Applicant's claimed microstructure containing ferrite and martensite without containing retained austenite. Note EP'028 teaches residual austenite, bainite and bainite as optional and therefore can be omitted.
- 10. In regard to properties, EP'028 in claims 5 and 6 teaches tensile strength ("TS") of 600-1100 MPa and TS x EI = 12,000-25,000 MPa% that would overlap and teach Applicant's claimed TS of 980 MPa or more and TS x EL of 16,000 or more, respectively.
- 11. In regard to claim 2, EP'028 in claim 1 teaches 0.2%max. V that overlaps with claimed 0.01 to 0.1%V.
- 12. In regard to claim 3, EP'028 in claim 1 teaches 30 ppm max B equivalent to 0.003%max B that overlaps with claimed 0.0005 to 0.002%B. Also EP'028 does not teach the claimed expression (2): 500 x [B] + 0.2 [Al]< 2.9 but it has been well settled that there is no invention in the discovery of a general formula if it covers a composition

Art Unit: 1793

described in the prior art, see *In re Cooper and Foley*, 57USPQ117. In the instant case, since the concentration of each element in prior art overlaps the claimed concentration of the corresponding element, then the claimed equation would have expected to be met in prior art.

- 13. In regard to claim 4, EP'028 does not 0.005 to 0.005%Ca and 0.0005 to 0.005% REM. Nonetheless, it is common practice to add these elements in small amounts to analogous galvanizing steel alloy to control formation of oxides which degrade wettability during plating, as evident by paragraph [0041] of JP'513. Therefore, it would be well within the skill of the artisan to modify steel of EP'028 in view of secondary teaching of JP'513.
- 14. In regard to claims 6, 7 and 11 to 15, EP'028 in claims 15 and 16 teach hot-dip galvanizing hot/ cold rolled steel sheet.
- 15. For the foregoing reasons, claims would not patentably distinguish over prior art.

  Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272
  1253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00 am-2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

/DY/